



The lake assessments are created in partnership with Hillsborough County and the Florida Center for Community Design and Research
LAKE ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT

Mound Lake 4/7/99 Watershed: Brooker Creek

Lake assessments are being conducted to contribute physical and ecological data to the Atlas as a collaborative effort between project partners. The goal is to rapidly assess many of the lakes in the county and thus provide stakeholders a better understanding of the character of the lake, its shore, and the aquatic plants present there. These data are intended to assist in the future management of the lake and its watershed.

The first section of the report provides the results of the bottom mapping effort: a contour (bathymetric) map of the lake, area, volume and depth statistics, and the water level at the time of assessment (if available).

The second section provides the results of the ecological (vegetation) assessment conducted on the lake. These results can be used to better manage vegetation in your lake. A list is provided with the different plant species found at various sites around the lake. Potentially invasive, exotic (non-native) species are identified in a plant list and the percent of exotics is presented in a summary table. The results of this study are compared with other lakes in the watershed.

The intent of the assessment is to provide a starting point from which to track changes in your lake. These data can provide the information needed to determine changes and to monitor trends in physical condition and ecological health of the lake.

I. Physical Data – Area, Depth, Volume, & Bottom Contours

The bottom of the lake was mapped using a sophisticated Global Positioning System (GPS) to determine the boat’s position, and a depth-finder to provide depth associated with that measured position. The result is an estimate of your lake’s area, mean and maximum depths, and volume (Table 1) and the creation of a bottom contour map.

Table 1. Physical Characteristics of Your Lake.

Surface Area (acres):	<u>84</u>
Mean Depth (feet):	<u>3.0</u>
Maximum Depth (feet):	<u>26.2</u>
Volume (gallons):	<u>81,246,175</u>

Mound Lake

Section - Township - Range
11 - 27 - 17

- Contour Lines Expressed in 2-Foot Intervals
- Lake Perimeter Ground Level


EXPLANATION:

Survey Date April 7, 1999


Lake water level was 48.88 ft above sea level when the lake was surveyed. Contours are expressed in absolute depth below this level.

DATA SOURCES:

Digital orthophotos by United States Geological Survey. All contours generated by Florida Center for Community Design and Research based on survey data provided by the Hillsborough County Lake Management Program.



Hillsborough County





The lake assessments are created in partnership with Hillsborough County and the Florida Center for Community Design and Research
LAKE ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT

Mound Lake 4/7/99 Watershed: Brooker Creek

II. Ecological Data

Aquatic Plant Survey

Approximately equispaced sites are haphazardly mapped around the lake and the aquatic plants at each site are surveyed. The total number of species from all sites is used to approximate the total diversity of aquatic plants and the percent of invasive-exotic plants on the lake and in the watershed (Table 2). Many of these plants are considered ecologically harmful, as they tend to out-compete native species. Such “nuisance” plants can also make boating and other recreational activities difficult or impossible. The common and scientific names of plant species found on your lake are listed in Table 3.

Table 2. Comparison of species diversity between your lake and other assessed lakes located within your watershed.

	<u>Mound Lake</u>	<u>Brooker Creek</u> (Average)
Number of Taxa:	36	31
Percent Exotic Plants:	14%	14%

Table 3. Botanical and common names of the most commonly found plants on your lake. Percent frequency (of occurrence), habit (location where found), status (native or exotic), and EPPC status are provided.

<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Plant Species</u>	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Habit</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>EPPC</u>
Stream Bog Moss	Mayaca fluviatilis	90%	Submersed	Native	NL
Wax Myrtle	Myrica cerifera	90%	Emergent	Native	NL
Hydrilla, waterthyme	Hydrilla verticillata	80%	Submersed	Exotic	I
Lemon Bacopa	Bacopa caroliniana	70%	Submersed	Native	NL
Swamp Fern	Blechnum serrulatum	70%	Emergent	Native	NL
Climbing Hempvine	Mikania scandens	70%	Emergent	Native	NL
Torpedo Grass	Panicum repens	70%	Emergent	Exotic	I
Cypress	Taxodium spp.	70%	Emergent	Native	NL
Southern Red Maple	Acer rubrum var. trilobum	60%	Emergent	Native	NL
American White Water Lily, Fragrant Water	Nymphaea odorata	60%	Floating	Native	NL
Pickereel Weed	Pontederia cordata	60%	Emergent	Native	NL
Burhead Sedge, Cuban Scirpus	Scirpus cubensis	60%	Emergent	Native	NL
Punk Tree, Melaleuca	Melaleuca quinquenervia	50%	Emergent	Exotic	I
Maidencane	Panicum hemitomon	50%	Emergent	Native	NL
Pine Tree	Pinus spp.	50%	Emergent	Native	NL
Smallfruit Beggarticks	Bidens mitis	40%	Emergent	Native	NL

Mound Lake

4/7/99

Watershed: Brooker Creek

Dahoon Holly	<i>Ilex cassine</i>	40%	Emergent	Native	NL
Redbay	<i>Persea borbonia</i>	40%	Emergent	Native	NL
Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia</i> spp.	40%	Submersed	Native	NL
Rush Fuirena	<i>Fuirena</i> spp.	30%	Emergent	Native	NL
Water Primroses, Primrosewillow	<i>Ludwigia</i> spp.	30%	Emergent	Unknown	NL
Banana Lily, Big Floatingheart	<i>Nymphoides aquatica</i>	30%	Floating	Native	NL
Royal Fern	<i>Osmunda regalis</i>	30%	Emergent	Native	NL
Cattails	<i>Typha</i> spp.	30%	Emergent	Native	NL
Wild Taro, Dasheen, Coco Yam	<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>	20%	Emergent	Exotic	I
Southern Wood Fern	<i>Dryopteris ludoviciana</i>	20%	Emergent	Native	NL
Manyflower Marshpennywort, Water Penny	<i>Hydrocotyl umbellata</i>	20%	Emergent	Native	NL
Spatterdock, Yellow Pondlily	<i>Nuphar lutea</i> var. <i>advena</i>	20%	Floating	Native	NL
Water Spangles, Water Fern	<i>Salvinia minima</i>	20%	Floating	Native	NL
Bluestem	<i>Andropogon</i> spp.	10%	Emergent	Unknown	NL
Common Buttonbush	<i>Cephalanthus occidentalis</i>	10%	Emergent	Native	NL
Baldwin's Spikerush, Roadgrass	<i>Eleocharis baldwinii</i>	10%	Submersed	Native	NL
Black Gum, Swamp Tupelo	<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> var. <i>biflora</i>	10%	Emergent	Native	NL
Laurel Oak; Diamond Oak	<i>Quercus laurifolia</i>	10%	Emergent	Native	NL
Willow	<i>Salix</i> spp.	10%	Emergent	Native	NL
Creeping Oxeye	<i>Sphagneticola (Wedelia) trilobata</i>	10%	Emergent	Exotic	II

Standing Crop

In addition to an overall survey of the types of plants on a lake, an estimate of the standing crop (biomass) of the lake has been obtained for many lakes. This was done by calculating the average weight of the vegetation within a quarter-meter square quadrat tossed haphazardly into three zones (see Figure) at each sampling site around the lake: (1) the emergent zone, (2) the floating zone and (3) the submersed zone. The average weight of the plants (Table 4) from all sampling sites and the dominant type of vegetation (Table 5) are provided. If data tables are not shown, no standing crop estimates were obtained for this lake.




Table 4. Comparison between the average biomass from three zones within your lake and among all lakes assessed within your watershed.

	<u>Mound Lake</u>	<u>Brooker Creek</u> (Average)
Emergent Zone:	3.94	3.71
Floating Zone:	0.53	0.82
Submersed Zone:	1.37	1.63

Number of lakes sampled in your watershed: 25

Note: All biomass measurements are shown in kilograms per square meter.

Table 5. Dominant taxa from three zones within your lake.

<u>Zone</u>	<u>Dominant Plant</u>	<u>Status</u>
Emergent Zone:	Maidencane	Native
Floating Zone:	American White Water Lily, Fragrant	Native
Submersed Zone:	Hydrilla, waterthyme	Exotic